

PEACE POWER TOOLS

(www.peacepower.info)

Youth Self-Monitoring and Self-Recognition

Summary:

Self-monitoring is the process of pinpointing planned actions, setting goals for the frequency of those actions, and keeping records to track frequencies. Self-monitoring can be used to increase target behaviors including giving recognition as well as many respectful behaviors like appropriate classroom behavior. (It is therefore listed under both Recognition and Respect on the PEACE POWER website, and could likely be included under the other core practices as well!) When needed, incentives are combined with self-monitoring. Youth can monitor themselves, but substantial evidence shows that it is initially important to share monitoring with a coach—a staff member or a peer, depending on circumstances. The attention and response of others can provide important social and sometimes tangible consequences, which are key in the initial stages of a self-management program. Self-recognition is a quiet (generally) activity in which youth learn to pay attention to and recognize their own successes.

Resources Needed:

- Pad of paper or pre-printed paper to track performance (written or graphed)
- Tangible incentives (in some cases) and negative consequences (social and tangible)

It is critical to engage the child or youth as a real partner in self-management efforts. Often children want to behave well or to receive positive attention from adults, but have trouble with distractions or impulse control. In such situations, self-monitoring and self-management can be presented simply as a way to help them achieve their goals. In other cases, children may not immediately care about improving behavior, but can be engaged in the process as a means to obtaining desired incentives. In either case, what is critical is forming a “team” including adult(s) and child before initiating the process.

Implementation Steps:

- Once youth has willingly consented to participate in self-monitoring, establish attainable goals
- Pinpoint positive acts or activities that contribute to reaching goal (and in some cases negative actions to avoid)
- Design incentives for taking positive targeted actions in collaboration with participant (which may be social, tangible, or activity consequences); should include self-recognition
- Design tracking plan in collaboration with youth
- Youth then carefully and consistently tracks performance own performance (written or graph); initially an adult also monitors the behavior, with incentives offered both for positive behavior and for accurate recording (regardless of behavior—accurate recording is a separate and critical component of self-monitoring and self-management)
- Structure an opportunity for youth to provide self-recognition—“I did really well today”
- Provide other planned positive consequence for taking positive or not taking targeted negative action according to plan; incentives are typically faded gradually over time

Variations:

Self-recognition can also be implemented within small group discussions. Such discussions can provide opportunities for youth to learn to recognize their strengths with humility. Positive stories are also forms of self-recognition. Here, positive stories about youth or the adults that love them are listened to, watched or read stories, with an emphasis on modeling positive behavior.

PEACE POWER TOOLS

(www.peacepower.info)

Rationale and Evidence Base:

There is substantial evidence that self-monitoring can increase school achievement, social competence, health behaviors, and a wide range of other desired actions, both for typical students and for those with a wide range of disabilities (with variations, of course, see attached reference list). Increasing the level of recognition an individual or group receives for positive behaviors is linked to dramatic increases in achievement and decreases in problematic behaviors. However, research indicates that it is important to recognize targeted acts and not attributes or labels (e.g., "you are so smart" or "you are such a good boy"). One reason is because the recognition of actions can encourage continued effort, where recognition of attributes can feed egotism. Further, anxiety over possible loss of ascribed positive labels is a risk. Attention to frequency of behavior, on the other hand, does not carry those risks, and can build self-efficacy ("I can do it").

- Agran, M., Sinclair, T., Alper, S., Cavin, M., Wehmeyer, M., & Hughes, C. (2005). Using Self-Monitoring to Increase Following-Direction Skills of Students with Moderate to Severe Disabilities in General Education. *Education and Training in Developmental Disabilities, 40*(1), 3-13.
- Blick, D. W., & Test, D. W. (1987). Effects of self-recording on high-school students' on-task behavior. *Learning Disability Quarterly, 10*(3), 203-213.
- Boyle, J. R., & Hughes, C. A. (1994). Effects of self-monitoring and subsequent fading of external prompts on the on-task behavior and task productivity of elementary students with moderate mental retardation. *Journal of Behavioral Education, 4*(4), 439-457.
- Brown, D., & Frank, A. R. (1990). "Let me do it": Self-monitoring in solving arithmetic problems. *Education & Treatment of Children, 13*(3), 239-248.
- Burch, M. R., Clegg, J. C., & Bailey, J. S. (1987). Automated contingent reinforcement of correct posture. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 8*(1), 15-20.
- Carr, S. C., & Punzo, R. P. (1993). The effects of self-monitoring of academic accuracy and productivity on the performance of students with behavioral disorders. *Behavioral Disorders, 18*(4), 241-250.
- Cavalier, A. R., Ferretti, R. P., & Hodges, A. E. (1997). Self-management within a classroom token economy for students with learning disabilities. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 18*(3), 167-178.
- Clarke, M. A., Bray, M. A., Kehle, T. J., & Truscott, S. D. (2001). A school-based intervention designed to reduce the frequency of tics in children with Tourette's syndrome. *School Psychology Review, 30*(1), 11-22.
- de Haas-Warner, S. J. (1991). Effects of self-monitoring on preschoolers' on-task behavior: A pilot study. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education, 11*(2), 59-73.
- Embry, D. D. (1997). Does your school have a peaceful environment? *Intervention in School & Clinic, 32*(4), 217-222.
- Gray, S. I., & Shelton, R. L. (1992). Self-monitoring effects on articulation carryover in school-age children. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 23*(4), 334-342.
- Hall, A. M., & Zentall, S. S. (2000). The effects of a learning station on the completion and accuracy of math homework for middle school students. *Journal of Behavioral Education, 10*(2-3), 123-137.
- Harris, K. R., Friedlander, B. D., Saddler, B., Frizzelle, R., & Graham, S. (2005). Self-monitoring of attention versus self-monitoring of academic performance: Effects among students with ADHD in the general education classroom. *Journal of Special Education, 39*(3), 145-156.
- Hertz, V., & McLaughlin, T. F. (1990). Self-recording: Effects for on-task behavior of mildly handicapped adolescents. *Child & Family Behavior Therapy, 12*(3), 1-11.
- Hitchcock, C. H., Prater, M. A., & Dowrick, P. W. (2004). Reading Comprehension and Fluency: Examining the Effects of Tutoring and Video Self-Modeling on First-Grade Students with Reading Difficulties. *Learning Disability Quarterly, 27*(2), 89-103.
- Hughes, C., Copeland, S. R., Agran, M., Wehmeyer, M. L., Rodi, M. S., & Presley, J. A. (2002). Using self-monitoring to improve performance in general education high school classes. *Education & Training in Mental Retardation & Developmental Disabilities, 37*(3), 262-272.

PEACE POWER TOOLS

(www.peacepower.info)

- Kern, L., Dunlap, G., Childs, K. E., & Clarke, S. (1994). Use of a classwide self-management program to improve the behavior of students with emotional and behavioral disorders. *Education & Treatment of Children, 17*(4), 445-458.
- Mattaini, M. A. (2001). *Peace power for adolescents: Strategies for a culture of nonviolence*. Washington, D.C: NASW Press.
- Martella, R. C., Leonard, I. J., Marchand-Martella, N. E., & Agran, M. (1993). Self-monitoring negative statements. *Journal of Behavioral Education, 3*(1), 77-86.
- Mathes, M. Y., & Bender, W. N. (1997). The effects of self-monitoring on children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder who are receiving pharmacological interventions. *Remedial and Special Education, 18*(2), 121-128.
- McCarl, J. J., Svobodny, L., & Beare, P. L. (1991). Self-recording in a classroom for students with mild to moderate mental handicaps: Effects on productivity and on-task behavior. *Education & Training in Mental Retardation, 26*(1), 79-88.
- McDougall, D., & Brady, M. P. (1995). Using audio-cued self-monitoring for students with severe behavior disorders. *Journal of Educational Research, 88*(5), 309-317.
- McLaughlin, T. F., Krappman, V. F., & Welsh, J. M. (1985). The effects of self-recording for on-task behavior of behaviorally disordered special education students. *RASE: Remedial & Special Education, 6*(4), 42-45.
- O'Reilly, M., Tiernan, R., Lancioni, G., Lacey, C., Hillery, J., & Gardiner, M. (2002). Use of self-motivating and delayed feedback to increase on-task behavior in a post-institutionalized child within regular classroom settings. *Education & Treatment of Children, 25*(1), 91-102.
- Rock, M. L. (2005). Use of Strategic Self-Monitoring to Enhance Academic Engagement, Productivity, and Accuracy of Students With and Without Exceptionalities. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 7*(1), 3-17.
- Selznick, L., & Savage, R. C. (2000). Using self-monitoring procedures to increase on-task behavior with three adolescent boys with brain injury. *Behavioral Interventions, 15*(3), 243-260.
- Shabani, D. B., Wilder, D. A., & Flood, W. A. (2001). Reducing stereotypic behavior through discrimination training, differential reinforcement of other behavior, and self monitoring. *Behavioral Interventions, 16*(4), 279-286.
- Shimabukuro, S. M., Prater, M. A., Jenkins, A., & Edelen-Smith, P. (1999). The effects of self-monitoring of academic performance on students with learning disabilities and ADD/ADHD. *Education & Treatment of Children, 22*(4), 397-414.
- Stecker, P. M., Whinnery, K. W., & Fuchs, L. S. (1996). Self-recording during unsupervised academic activity: Effects on time spent out of class. *Exceptionality, 6*(3), 133-147.
- Thomas, E. J., Abrams, K. S., & Johnson, J. B. (1971). Self-monitoring and reciprocal inhibition in the modification of multiple tics of Gilles de la Torette's syndrome. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry, 2*(3), 159-171.
- Todd, A. W., Horner, R. H., & Sugai, G. (1999). Self-monitoring and self-recruited praise: Effects on problem behavior, academic engagement, and work completion in a typical classroom. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 1*(2), 66-76.
- Trammel, D. L., Schloss, P. J., & Alper, S. (1994). Using self-recording, evaluation, and graphing to increase completion of homework assignments. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 27*(2), 75-81.
- Wood, S. J., Murdock, J. Y., & Cronin, M. E. (2002). Self-monitoring and at-risk middle school students: Academic performance improves, maintains, and generalizes. *Behavior Modification, 26*(5), 605-626.
- Wood, S. J., Murdock, J. Y., Cronin, M. E., Dawson, N. M., & Kirby, P. C. (1998). Effects of self-monitoring on on-task behaviors of at-risk middle school students. *Journal of Behavioral Education, 8*(2), 263-279.